Intermec Technologies Corp. v. Palm, Inc. Doc. 92 Att. 1

Laurence D. King (SBN 206423)
lking@kaplanfox.com
Linda M. Fong (SBN 124232)
lfong@kaplanfox.com
KAPLAN, FOX & KILSHEIMER, LLP
350 Sansome Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-4700
Facsimile: (415) 772-4707

Linda P. Nussbaum
lnussbaum@kaplanfox.com
KAPLAN, FOX & KILSHEIMER, LLP
850 Third Ave., 14th Floor
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 680-1980
Fax (212) 687-7714

Joseph F. Roda
jroda@rodanast.com
Dianne M. Nast
dnast@rodanast.com
Michele S. Burkholder
mburkholder@rodanast.com
Daniel N. Gallucci
dgallucci@rodanast.com
RODANAST, P.C.
801 Estelle Drive
Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17601
Telephone: (717) 892-3000
Facsimile: (717) 892-1200

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KIMBERLY WILLIAMS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. NETFLIX, INC.; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and WALMART.COM USA LLC, Defendants.	Case No. CV-09-0678-BZ **[PROPOSED] ORDER RELATING CASES**

Dockets.Justia.com

Plaintiff Kimberly Williams' Administrative Motion To Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related ("Williams Motion") was filed with this Court on February 19, 2009. The time for filing an opposition or statement of support has passed. Having considered the Williams Motion and good cause appearing, the Court hereby grants the Williams Motion.

IT IS ORDERED that *Williams v. Netflix Inc., et al.,* Case No. 09-cv-0678-BZ (N.D. Cal.) is hereby related to *Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC,* Case No. 09-cv-00002-PJH. *Williams v. Netflix Inc., et al.,* shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge pursuant to local Rule 3-12(f).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: ______________________

____
U.S. District Court Judge